

Integrated Technology Committee Annual Report  
 Indiana University Southeast  
 Integrated Technology Committee  
 2003-2004 School Year

**2002-2003 Members:**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Division</b>	<b>Email Address</b>	<b>Phone</b>
Dee Cochran (Chair and UFC rep)	Natural Science	<a href="mailto:dcochran@ius.edu">dcochran@ius.edu</a>	941-2605
Bob Ash	Business & Economics	<a href="mailto:bash@ius.edu">bash@ius.edu</a>	941-2362
Larry Mand (Ex-officio)	Executive Director of IT	<a href="mailto:lmmand@ius.edu">lmmand@ius.edu</a>	941-2384
Robert Lennartz	Social Science	<a href="mailto:rlennart@ius.edu">rlennart@ius.edu</a>	941-2174
Dennis Kreps	Library	<a href="mailto:dkreps@ius.edu">dkreps@ius.edu</a>	941-2551
Marilyn Whitesell	Humanities	<a href="mailto:mwhitese@ius.edu">mwhitese@ius.edu</a>	941-2413
Gary Pinkston	Education	<a href="mailto:gpinksto@ius.edu">gpinksto@ius.edu</a>	941-2183
Judy Myers	Nursing	<a href="mailto:judymyer@ius.edu">judymyer@ius.edu</a>	941-2695

---

**I. Software Monies**

Integrated Technology Committee (ITC) is responsible for the distribution of monies to faculty for the purchase of educational and research software. The ITC continued the policy of allocating a "block grant" of \$1000 to each School and to the Library and retaining the remainder for funding requests made directly to ITC. The ITC had two rounds of funding for the year (November and March). Any unspent block grant money was put back into ITC pool in March in order to fund worthy requests made directly to ITC. A breakdown of all spending is recorded in the table below (except for money spent by deans from the block grants). The committee currently has an unspent balance of \$3313. This money was pledged to partially fund a software request for 4170 by the biology department made by Dr. Treves but has yet to be spent. Actual costs may vary slightly from the approved amount due to lower than expected pricing and/or shipping and handling charges or extra fees for CDs or manuals.

## Requests for Funding From ITC 2002 – 2003

(some of the 1000 purchases made by deans not listed here)

<b>Name</b>	<b>Division</b>	<b>Software Requested</b>	<b>Amount</b>
C Lang	Natural Science	<u>Mathematics 4.2 for Windows</u>	150
G Ruth	Natural Science		329
E Otu	Natural Science	Chromatography Workstation	1000 (
Treves	Natural Science	Gel ComparII	Partial 3313 of 4100 needed
Miller	Natural Science	Math Type 5.0	1800
Baker	Natural Science	PC TEX (Latex)	125
Wills	Natural Science	Geometers Sketchpad	899
Woepell	Natural Science	Derive5	2378
Ehringer Wills		TI Interactive (20@50)	1000
Cochran		Dreamweaver	93.00
Dey			154.00
Finkbine			349.00
<b>TOTAL</b>			<b>8074 or 11387</b>
Pinkston	School of Education	Inspiration	450
Pinkston	School of Education	HanDbase	480
Ryan	School of Education	Dreamweaver MX	93.00
Pinkston	School of Education	Multiple Package/same vendor	965
Ryan			460
Ridout		Multiple Package/same vendor	1080
<b>TOTAL</b>			3528+ 1000 <b>4528</b>
Whitesell	Arts and Letters	Indesign	161
Anderson	Arts and Letters	Conversion Plus	177
Whitesell	Arts and Letters	Adobe	1207
Whitesell	Arts and Letters	Faculty Adobe	213
<b>TOTAL</b>			<b>1758</b>

Free	Nursing	Thumbs Up	269
Free	Nursing	Pain Management	710
<b>Total</b>			<b>979</b>
Kanning	Career Services	CX-Online	1065
<b>Total</b>			<b>1065</b>

**Total** **\$16404**

-----

Arts & Letters	1758	
Education	4528	
Natural Sciences	8074	
Nursing	979	
Career Service	1065	
Total	16404	(by ITC Approval process)
Total	4000	(by deans block grant money)
Grand Total	20404	

Total funds available (begin 2002)	23905	
Total Funds Spent	20404	
Balance	3316	(difference due to shipping and fees)
Note:	possible 5% return of funds will carry over to next year (1195)	

## II. Website

This year the committee authorized the creation of a website for distributing information about these awards to the faculty and to facilitate the flow of information from the committee to the faculty. The website is located at:

<http://homepages.ius.edu/Groups/FacultyIT/>.

The site was created and maintained by the Chair of the Committee, Delaine E. Cochran. The committee would like to continue the website and have the future Chair responsible for posting information about funding.

## III. Communication with the Student Tech Fee Committee

The committee feels that a line of communication should be established and maintained by these two committees since each is responsible for funding some aspect of technology on campus. As technology evolves and the importance of technology for teaching becomes more pervasive the differences in hardware and software requirements will overlap and in some cases be indistinguishable. This year Russ Bush met with the ITC committee and the ITC committee chair met with the Student Tech Fee Committee to establish a policy for determining when and how funding can be received from the Student Tech Fee monies.

This joint meeting and information sharing between the two groups should continue (to assure faculty some input on the expenditures and decisions made by this group). This policy is set forth on the ITC webpage and the forms for applying are there also. The distinction between these two pools of money (ITC and Student Tech Fee) is a fine line that will be crossed many times as technology changes and evolves. For this reason the committee recommends that these two committees continue to meet with each other and keep the lines of communication open...Many faculty members also feel they should have a say in how the Student Tech Fee monies are spent. While this is not currently the case we feel comfortable in being able to report that there is a mechanism and procedure in place to seek funding from the Tech Fees that did not previously exist.

#### **IV. Final Notes and recommendations for the coming year**

The committee elected Marilyn Whitesell as the Committee Chair for next year. She has accepted the position and agreed to maintain the website for the committee. The ITC recommends that the software money continue to go through the committee for a fair and equitable distribution by teaching need rather than to divide the money equally by schools, since the needs for teaching are different by discipline. The committee also recommends an open line of communication with the Student Technology Fee Committee be maintained to ensure faculty input into some of the decisions made by this group.

Respectfully submitted to the IU Southeast Faculty Senate  
Dee Cochran 2002-2003 Chair of the It Committee